

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILIN | G DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/789,974 | 10/789,974 02/28/2004 | | Francis M. Carlson | Yates-CDR-US-NProv | 9435 |
| 33549 | 7590 | 06/02/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | OFFICES, P.C. | STEPHENSON, DANIEL P | | |
| 125 SOUTH HOWES, THIRD FLOOR FORT COLLINS, CO 80521 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | | 3672 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 06/02/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Office Antique Commence | 10/789,974 | CARLSON, FRANCIS M. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Daniel P. Stephenson | 3672 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON | DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)[7] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | , _ | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)□ | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are subject t | to restriction and/or election requ | irement. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| _ | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Offic | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summar | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-5,8-15,27-61,71-74,84-89,99,100,102-106,113,116,120-123,145-147,152 and 153.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1-5,8-15,27-61,71-74,84-89,99,100,102-106,113,116,120-123,145-147,152 and 153.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,974 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
- I(a) determining gas-water ratio of sample (claims 14, 28-35 and 120)
- II(a) determining bubble point of sample (claims 36-61 and 121)

The species are independent or distinct because species I(a) and II(a) are directed to different measurements of a sample which are mutually exclusive in their modes of operation.

- 2. In addition, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
- I(b) determining likely amount of methane production (claims 86-89)
- II(b) estimating a dewatering value (claim 99)
- III(b) determining pressure drop necessary for production (claim 101)
- IV(b) estimating an economic factor for commercial production (claims 102 and 103)

The species are independent or distinct because species I(b)-IV(b) are directed to unrelated estimations of the formation that are mutually exclusive in their modes of operation.

3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of both groups for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. For example, applicant can elect groups I(a) and I(b), or can elect

Art Unit: 3672

I(a) and II(b), or can elect II(a) and I(b), etc. Currently, claims 1-5, 8-13, 15, 27, 71-74, 84, 85, 104-106, 113, 116, 122, 123, 145-147, 152 and 153 are generic.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3672

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel P. Stephenson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7035. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Bagnell

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672

DPS 789